# Commission on Faculty Affairs Resolution to Revise the Description of the Faculty Senate Standing Committees in the Faculty Senate Constitution CFA 2023-24A

| Draft Notice Sent to University Council Cabinet First Reading by Commission on Faculty Affairs | October 5, 2023<br>October 13, 2023 |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Approval by Commission on Faculty Affairs  | October 27, 2023                    |
| First Reading by Faculty Senate  | October 20, 2023                    |
| Approval by Faculty Senate   | November 3, 2023                    |
| Staff Senate Comment   | October 18, 2023                    |
| Administrative and Professional Faculty Senate Comment   | October 31, 2023                    |
| Graduate and Professional Student Senate Waived Right to Comment                               | December 4, 2023                    |
| Undergraduate Student Senate Waived Right to Comment   | October 14, 2023                    |
| First Reading, University Council  | December 4, 2023                    |
| Approved, University Council   | February 5, 2024                    |
| Approved, President  | February 5, 2024                    |
| Effective Date   | Upon Approval                       |

**WHEREAS,** Article VIII, Section 1 of the Faculty Senate Constitution describes the Faculty Senate Standing Committees and their purposes; and

WHEREAS, faculty need clarity on the scope and responsibilities of these committees to provide equitable treatment and expedient resolution of complaints involving faculty; and

**WHEREAS**, the purposes and responsibilities of these committees require clarification and amendment to better serve faculty; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed revisions clarify and emphasize the specific scope and authority of the Faculty Senate in the context of complaints involving faculty;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that Article VIII, Section 1 of the Faculty Senate Constitution be revised per the following changes <u>noted below in red</u>.

#### Current and Proposed Article VIII, Section 1 of the Faculty Senate Constitution

#### **Current Article VIII, Section 1 of the Faculty Senate Constitution**

Standing committees and work groups are established to carry out the functions and operation of the Faculty Senate. The actions of all standing committees are subject to review by the Faculty Senate.

There are two types of Faculty Senate standing committees: external and internal. External Faculty Senate standing committees serve the needs of the faculty as a whole, report to the vice president of the Senate, are prescribed in The Faculty Handbook, and are summarized in the Faculty Senate Constitution (see below). Internal Faculty Senate standing committees serve the internal operations of the Faculty Senate, report to the operations officer, and are prescribed in Article XIII of the Faculty Senate Bylaws.

Faculty Senate standing committees and work groups may be established or revised upon recommendation of the Faculty Senate president, the cabinet, or a senator, and approval by the Faculty Senate. In addition to Senate approval, the establishment or revision of external Faculty Senate standing committees requires approval through the resolution process.

The Committee on Faculty Ethics receives and considers charges of violations of faculty ethics that involve the abuse of professional responsibilities as outlined in the principles of ethical behavior prescribed in The Faculty Handbook. It is the venue for the examination of possible violations of the standards for research, teaching, and appropriate behavior with colleagues and students that do not cross legal thresholds, such as behavior that is offensive but does not meet the standard for discrimination/harassment. The committee has an investigatory and reporting role.

The Committee on Reconciliation offers advice and counsel to faculty members who seek it, particularly in relation to disputes with immediate supervisors or university administrators. The committee has a designated role within the grievance process to assist in resolving disputes that are eligible for consideration as a grievance if so requested by the faculty member, and can help facilitate conversations between faculty members and their supervisors with the goal of reaching mutually agreeable solutions. Faculty members may also consult the committee regarding serious disagreements with immediate supervisors or other university administrators over issues that are not eligible for consideration within the grievance process. In contrast to the Faculty Review Committee, the Committee on Reconciliation operates informally as a facilitator, similar to the University Ombuds Office. It meets with the respective parties to determine if there is common ground for resolution of the matter, facilitating a solution that is agreeable to the principal parties and consistent with university policy and practice.

The Faculty Review Committee oversees the movement of grievances through the grievance process as prescribed in The Faculty Handbook, provides faculty review of faculty grievances that are not resolved at the college level, and considers appeals in the

promotion and tenure or continued appointment process when the provost does not concur with a positive recommendation from the University Committee on Promotion and Tenure or the University Committee on Promotion and Continued Appointment. The committee has an investigatory and reporting role.

#### **Proposed Article VIII, Section 1 of the Faculty Senate Constitution**

Standing committees and work groups are established to carry out the functions and operation of the Faculty Senate. The actions of all standing committees are subject to review by the Faculty Senate.

There are two types of Faculty Senate standing committees: external and internal. External Faculty Senate standing committees serve the needs of the faculty as a whole, in the preservation of academic freedom and the procedural integrity by which scholarly activities are evaluated, and in maintaining standards of professionalism. These committees report to the vice president of the Faculty Senate, are prescribed in The Faculty Handbook, and are summarized in the Faculty Senate Constitution (see below). Internal Faculty Senate standing committees serve the internal operations of the Faculty Senate, report to the operations officer, and are prescribed in Article XIII of the Faculty Senate Bylaws.

Internal Faculty Senate standing committees and work groups may be established, dissolved, or revised modified upon recommendation of the Faculty Senate president, the cabinet, or a senator, and approval by the Faculty Senate. In addition to Senate approval, the establishment, dissolution, or revision modification of external Faculty Senate standing committees requires approval through the resolution process.

The Committee on Faculty Ethics receives and considers charges of violations of faculty ethics that involve the abuse of professional responsibilities as outlined in the principles of ethical behavior prescribed in The Faculty Handbook. It is the venue for the examination of possible violations of the standards for research, teaching, and appropriate behavior with colleagues, and students, and other supervisees that do not cross legal thresholds, such as behavior that is offensive but does not meet the standard for discrimination/harassment. The committee has an investigatory and reporting role.

The Committee on Reconciliation offers advice and counsel to faculty members who seek it, particularly in relation to disputes with immediate supervisors or university administrators. The committee has a designated role within the grievance process to assist in resolving disputes that are eligible for consideration as a grievance if so requested by the faculty member, and can Its purpose is to help facilitate conversations between faculty members and their supervisors with the goal of reaching mutually agreeable solutions. Faculty members may also consult the committee regarding serious disagreements with immediate supervisors or other university administrators over issues that are not eligible for consideration within the grievance process. In contrast to the Faculty Review Committee, Tthe Committee on Reconciliation operates informally as a facilitator, similar to the University Ombuds Office. It meets with the respective parties to

determine if there is common ground for resolution of the matter, facilitating a solution that is agreeable to the principal parties and consistent with university policy and practice.

The Faculty Review Committee oversees the movement of grievances through the grievance process as prescribed in The Faculty Handbook, provides faculty review of faculty grievances that are not resolved at the college level, and considers appeals in the promotion and tenure or continued appointment process when the provost does not concur with a positive recommendation from the University Committee on Promotion and Tenure or the University Committee on Promotion and Continued Appointment. The committee has an investigatory and reporting role.



#### **Administrative and Professional Faculty Senate**

https://governance.vt.edu/ap-faculty-senate.php

#### 2023-2024 Officers & Committee Chairs

#### **President:**

Janice Austin Graduate School

#### **Vice President:**

Stephanie Trout Scholarly Integrity and Research Compliance

#### **Secretary/Treasurer:**

Jennifer Jones Agriculture and Life Sciences

#### Parliamentarian:

Chad Proudfoot Extension

#### **Immediate Past President:**

Holli Gardner Drewry TLOS

#### **Communications Committee Chair:**

Enrique Noyola Human Resources

### **Elections and Nominations Committee Co-Chairs:**

Leanna Blevins Health Sciences & Technology

Scott Weimer VT Roanoke Center

#### **Policies and Issues Committee Chair**

Nikki Connors

Analytics and Institutional Research

#### October 31, 2023

To: Vice President of Policy and Governance

From: A/P Faculty Senate Polices and Issues Committee

The A/P Faculty Senate Polices and Issues Committee has reviewed and approves/endorses the Commission on Faculty Affairs Resolution 2023-24A to Revise the Faculty Handbook Regarding Faculty Senate Standing Committees.

We have no further comment.



#### 2023-2024 EXECUTIVE BOARD

**President: LaTawnya Burleson** Division of Information Technology 540/231-6381; <u>latawnya@vt.edu</u>

Vice President: Callan Bartel Vice President for Finance 540/231-8688; <u>callan9@vt.edu</u>

Secretary/Treasurer: Kari Evans Division of Human Resources 540/231-7784; tuckere@vt.edu

Parliamentarian: Frank Kerr Grounds fwk95@vt.edu

Past President: Serena Young University Ombuds 540/231-9532; voung7@vt.edu

#### STANDING COMMITTEES

Communications
Tamarah Smith, Chair
Summer & Winter Sessions
540/231-7327; tjsmith@vt.edu

Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging Tasia Persson, Chair Liberal Arts and Human Sciences tpersson@vt.edu

Elections and Nominations
Judy Taylor, Chair
Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs
540/231-9595; taylor1@vt.edu

James D. McComas Staff Leadership Seminar Serena Young, Chair University Ombuds 540/231-9532; young7@vt.edu

Policies and Issues
Amber Robinson, Chair
College of Science
540/231-7078: hamber08@vt.edu

ADHOC COMMITTEES

## Staff Senate http://www.staffsenate.vt.edu/

#### October 18, 2023

#### To: Vice President of Policy and Governance

The Staff Senate Committee on Policy and Issues has reviewed and approves CFA Resolution 2023-24A. We have no further comment.

Thank you,
Amber Robinson, Chair Staff Senate Policies and
Issues Committee